Chronic chemogenetic manipulation of ventral pallidum targeted neurons in male rats fed an obesogenic diet
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ABSTRACT

Current treatments for obesity do not reliably reduce body weight over time. New interventional strategies, including chemogenetics, carry promise based on preclinical animal studies. Here, we focused on the ventral pallidum (VP) due to its clearly established role in eating behavior. Chronic inhibitory or excitatory chemogenetic activation was used to modulate the activity of VP-targeted neurons in rats on an obesogenic diet. Based on studies using acute VP manipulations, we hypothesized that VP inhibition would decrease weight gain, while VP stimulation would increase weight. Instead, both manipulations caused weight gain over time, and in a manner not clearly linked to consumption levels. We theorize that the complex reciprocal feedback between ventral striatal structures and metabolic centers likely underpin our unexpected findings. Regardless, this study suggests that the result of strategies to prevent obesity with chronic neuromodulation could be difficult to predict from prior preclinical studies that have used acute interventions.

1. Introduction

The prevalence of overweight and obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) is increasing at a startling rate despite current treatment efforts, and is considered to be a global epidemic by the World Health Organization (James, 2008). Up to 57.8% of the global adult population is predicted to become overweight or obese by 2030 (González-Muniesa et al., 2017). Current treatments (e.g., lifestyle interventions, pharmacotherapy and surgical interventions) all suffer from the achieved weight loss not being sustained over the long term in many patients (Christou et al., 2006; Khera et al., 2016; Gotthardt and Bello, 2016). Because of the established morbidity associated with obesity (e.g., metabolic, endocrine and cardiovascular diseases) (Longo et al., 2017) there is a pressing need to find new and effective treatments (Preguiça et al., 2020).

Basal metabolic rate and behavioral actions (e.g., diet and exercise) are key factors in obesity, and are related to neural processes. Thus, interventions to directly manipulate these underlying neural processes are being actively pursued as potential therapeutic strategies. Networks involving reward and motivational processes (e.g., networks involving ventral striatal regions), and regions underpinning energy homeostatic processes (e.g., the hypothalamus and brainstem), have received particular attention. Manipulations of these areas to curb weight gain have included lesions, pharmacologic modulation, and molecular or electrical stimulation (Teitelbaum and Epstein, 1962; Anand and Brobeck, 1951; Nangunoori et al., 2016). Unfortunately, the manipulation of hypothalamic activity to suppress appetite and/or increase energy expenditure have, like other clinical interventions, proven to be largely ineffective over the long term (Whiting et al., 2013; Hamani et al., 2008). It has been hypothesized that modulating the mesolimbic reward network could overcome this limitation, and studies using network-based interventions (deep brain stimulation) in preclinical models and patients with obesity have demonstrated potential but with similar findings of time-limited effectiveness and variable effectiveness across individuals (Whiting et al., 2013; Harat et al., 2016). In short, long-term treatment options based on brain intervention remain elusive.

The newest generation of neuroscience technologies has allowed access to neural signaling mechanisms at very fine levels of detail, and
many have shown promise in the realm of overeating and weight control (Krashes and Kravitz, 2014; Palmeter, 2017; Preguiça et al., 2020). Among these, perhaps the most promising for human translation is a chemogenetic approach using Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs (DREADDs) (Armbruster et al., 2007). DREADDs offer a naturalistic means of changing neuronal activity through endogenous intracellular signaling mechanisms and a relative non-invasiveness of delivering receptor ligands systemically once brain expression of the DREADD receptor has occurred (Smith et al., 2016; Roth, 2016; Burnett and Krashes, 2016). As a result it has emerged as a highly attractive method for chronic brain manipulation with translational potential.

In most prior studies, acute chemogenetic manipulation produces a limited time window of effect (hours) and is tested on a behavior, such as an eating bout, that can be assessed acutely (Smith et al., 2016; Roth, 2016; Burnett and Krashes, 2016). In contrast, treatment of chronic brain-based disorders (e.g., obesity and psychiatric illnesses) will likely require ongoing modulation on the order of months to years. However, only recently has research started to investigate the effectiveness of chronic chemogenetic manipulation of brain activity with longitudinal behavioral assessment (Iyer et al., 2016; Yu and Munzberg, 2018). Here, we report an initial attempt to assess the effects of chronic inhibition or activation of ventral pallidum (VP) targeted neurons.

Specifically, we evaluated the effect of chronic inhibitory or excitatory modulation of VP targeted neurons in rats on an obesogenic diet. The VP was selected as a target due to its critical role within the mesolimbic network in regulating motivation, effort, and hedonic processes (Smith et al., 2009; Castro et al., 2015; Root et al., 2015; Gendelis et al., 2020). Notably, drastic damage to VP activity can completely abolish how wanted and liked a palatable food is, the only such brain area to carry this function to our knowledge (Smith et al., 2009; Cromwell and Berridge, 1993). More subtle and acute inhibitions of the VP can reduce palatable food consumption, while other VP modulations such as those that stimulate the VP can elevate food consumption (Smith et al., 2009; Castro et al., 2015; Root et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2015; Tooley et al., 2018; Richard et al., 2018; Creed et al., 2016). Moreover, DREADD-mediated inhibition of the VP can be effective at reducing motivational attraction to food cues (Chang et al., 2015) and motivation to seek other rewards (Chang et al., 2017; Mahler et al., 2014; Prasad and McNally, 2016). Thus, the possibility of preventing diet-induced obesity through VP manipulations seemed likely.

2. Results

Based on the above background, we used an implantable osmotic minipump to deliver clozapine-N-oxide (CNO), a ligand for the DREADD receptor, continuously over ~ 1 month and monitored food and water consumption along with weight in rats with expression of hM4Di (Gi–inhibitory DREADD), rats with expression of hM3D (Gq–excitatory DREADD), and rats with expression of a control virus targeted to the VP. We hypothesized that chronic activation of inhibitory Gi receptors targeted to the VP would decrease food consumption and weight compared to the control group, while chronic excitatory Gq receptor activation would increase weight and food consumption.

![Fig. 1. Chronic activation of Gi and Gq in ventral pallidum targeted neurons increases weight gain. Panel A illustrates the experimental design and timeline. B. The expression of the fluorescent marker mCherry/eYFP for each animal is overlaid in three representative sections along the A-P axis (Bregma: 0.36, 0.00, −0.36) with a separate column and color for each group (Gi - Blue, Gq - Red, control - Green). C. Average weight (grams) of the three cohorts (Gi, Gq, and control [crl]) at postnatal day (PND) 90 ± 1 standard deviation. For comparison the average weight of rats on normal chow at PND 90 from the vendor (VC), Charles River, is shown in black. D. The effect of chronic CNO delivery on weight, food and water consumption is displayed with line color matching that of panel. E. Days are graphed as two-day averages. Error bars = ±1 standard deviation.](image-url)
2.1. Viral expression

All animals were evaluated for viral expression in the VP. One animal with expression outside the targeted area was excluded from analysis. Thus, analysis was run with group sizes as: 10 animals in Gi Group, 9 animals in Gq Group, and 10 animals in the Control Group. VP expression was defined by the Paxinos and Watson (Paxinos and Watson, 2006) atlas, with the target coordinates being ventral to anterior comissure and lateral to the substantia innominata and lateral preoptic area but dorsal to magnocellular preoptic nucleus (coordinates above). Fig. 1 plots per-rat expression areas with semi-transparent shading, with the areas of most consistent across-rat expression seen as darker (overlaid) coloration. The majority of Gi Group expression was most dense within our area of interest in the VP similar to what we have observed in previously published studies (Chang et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2018). There was some minor/inconsistent spread anterior and medial to the target area (Fig. 1B, left). The Gq Group showed dense and mostly restricted expression in the VP, with some minor dorsal spreading (Fig. 1B, center). The Control Group exhibited more spread outside of the VP target (Fig. 1B, right). Some variance in expression area and spread across rats is to be expected with these procedures. Given that the area of consistent expression was centered in the VP in this study, with other areas receiving inconsistent and generally minor infiltration of the virus, we have confidence that the results reflect perturbation of the VP.

2.2. Percent change in weight from baseline

Fig. 1C illustrates that all three groups with ad libitum access to the sweet-fat diet had average weights that were both consistent with prior models of obesity at PND 90 (Furnes et al., 2009), and, according to vendor data (Rat and Growth, 2019), likely weighed more than age matched rats from the vendor colony that were fed a standard rat chow. Both the Gi Group and the Gq Group differed in weight from the Control Group by PND 120 (pump removal). The effects on weight did not emerge until over a week into treatment, suggesting that the relevant changes in brain activity (in VP and wider circuitry) occurred over an extended time-course. Thus, chronic activation must have altered the changes in brain activity (in VP and wider circuitry) occurred over an extended time-course. However, percent change in weight did not then vary with weight gain. While all animals gained weight over the course of the 30 days, the Gi Group and Gq Group gained weight at a significantly faster rate than the control group. All groups received CNO.

To reveal this, a linear mixed model was constructed using individual rat percent change in weight from baseline (pre-pump) (%Δ weight; Fig. 1D, top) by fixed effects of Group assignment (Gi, Gq, Control), day (1–30), percent change in food consumption from baseline, and percent change in water consumption from baseline and random effects of slope (i.e. growth curves) and intercept (i.e. individual y-intercepts). There was a significant Group by day interaction (est: 0.161 %Δ weight; CI: 0.06–0.25; SE: 0.049; p = 0.003). A similar difference was found for Group Gq, as the interaction between Group Gq and Group Control by day was significant (est: 0.112 %Δ weight; CI: 0.00–0.21; SE: 0.050; p = 0.033).

There was a significant main effect of day (est: 0.254 %Δ weight; CI: 0.19–0.33; SE: 0.035; p < 0.001) indicating that all animals displayed weight increases by the end of the study. A significant main effect of food consumption (est: 0.014 %Δ weight; CI: 0.01–0.02; SE: 0.003; p < 0.001) shows that animals who weighed more tended to eat more. However, percent change in weight did not vary in accordance with percent change in water consumption (est: −0.002 %Δ weight; CI: −0.01–0.00; SE: 0.003; p = 0.585), suggesting that there were not weight-associated differences in water drinking. The percent change in weight from baseline took time to diverge between the Gi and Gq Groups and the Control Group. Therefore, it was not surprising that neither the Gi Group (est: −0.216 %Δ weight; CI: −1.94–1.64; SE: 0.967; p = 0.825) nor the Gq group (est: −0.184 %Δ weight; CI: −1.84–1.87; SE: 0.993; p = 0.854) differed from the Control Group in percent change in weight measures (on average) across the 30 days.

We additionally broke down weight change data by virus serotype. The Gi group with the AAV5 serotype exhibited the greatest weight gain, but the AAV8 Gi group gained more weight than controls as well. Specific weight gain percentages from the first day to the last day were: Control-AAV5: 10.62% weight gain; Gi-AAV5: 17.40% weight gain; Gi-AAV8: 13.54% weight gain; Gq-AAV8: 13.90% weight gain.

2.3. Percent change in food consumption

Even though the Gi and Gq Groups gained weight faster, the Groups did not differ in their food consumption compared to controls. Moreover, all animals lessened their overall daily consumption by the end of the study. Animals who ate more tended to drink more and weigh slightly more, though there was no effect of VP perturbation on this relationship. Thus, the elevated weight gain in Gi- and Gq-treated animals was not related to an elevation in the amount of food that they ate. The rats in the Gi Group did not display differences from rats in the Control Group in the amount of food consumed relative to baseline amounts over time as indicated by a non-significant group by day interaction (est: −0.102 %Δ food; CI: −0.46–0.24; SE: 0.174; p = 0.905). The Gq Group also did not differ in consumption from Controls over time as seen in a non-significant group by day interaction (est: −0.175 %Δ food; CI: −0.58–0.17; SE: 0.173; p = 0.429). Further, on average across the 30 days, neither the Gi Group (est: 2.032 %Δ food; CI: −6.41–10.5; SE: 4.363; p = 0.645) nor the Gq Group (est: 2.185 %Δ food; CI: −7.05–11.6; SE: 4.450; p = 0.627) differed from the Control Group in the relative amount of food consumed compared to baseline.

A significant main effect of day (est: −0.456 %Δ food; CI: −0.75–(−0.15); SE: 0.142; p = 0.002) showed that all animals exhibited a relative decrease in food consumption compared to baseline by the end of the study. There was a significant main effect of weight (est: 1.248 %Δ food; CI: 0.64–1.86; SE: 0.302; p < 0.001), indicating that on days when animals had a larger percent change in weight, they also had corresponding relative changes in food consumption independent of Group. This correlation was also observed with water consumption (est: 0.125 %Δ food; CI: 0.06–0.19; SE: 0.031; p < 0.001), indicating that from day-to-day rats had changes in water consumption that related to changes in food consumption independent of Group.

2.4. Percent change in water consumption

Rats from all three Groups tended to drink less over time and water consumption did not fluctuate with weight gain. Again, however, water consumption and food consumption were related as animals tended to drink more if they ate more. The interaction between the Gi Group and Control Group by day was not significant (est: 0.126 %Δ water; CI: −0.27–0.56; SE: 0.236; p = 0.596). In addition, on average across the 30 days, the Gi Group did not significantly differ from the Control Group in relative water consumption levels compared to baseline (est: 0.713 %Δ water; CI: −12.6–12.9; SE: 7.28; p = 0.923). The interaction between the Gq Group and Control Group by day was significant (est: −0.589 %Δ water; CI: −1.04–(−0.14); SE: 0.236; p = 0.018), with Gq animals drinking less over time. However, on average across the 30 days, the Gq Group significantly differed from the Control Group in overall water consumption (est: 19.5 %Δ water; CI: 5.77–33.7; SE: 7.42; p = 0.014). Thus, the Gq Group had increased water consumption relative to baseline compared to the Control Group when averaged across the entire 30 days, despite a lot of variation in the data. However, the Gq Group tended to drink less as time went on compared to Controls in contrast to the time-course of relative weight change.

Daily water consumption had decreased relative to baseline consumption by the end of study as seen in a significant main effect of day (est: −0.391 %Δ water; CI: −0.72–(−0.01); SE: 0.192; p = 0.047). Water
consumption did not fluctuate with weight gain as suggested by a non-
significant main effect of weight (est: −0.012 %Δ water; CI: −0.83–0.79; 
SE: 0.402; p = 0.977). Food and water consumption were related with a 
significant main effect of food (est: 0.170 %Δ water; CI: 0.09–0.26; SE: 
0.043; p < 0.001).

3. Discussion

The goal of this study was to evaluate the effects of chronic DREADD-
based modulation of the VP on diet-induced weight gain. Our results 
indicate all animals gain weight over time when provided with a high-
fat, high-sugar diet consistent with previous findings (Hariri and Thi-
bault, 2010), but animals who received chronic inhibitory or excitatory 
modulation via DREADD receptors targeted to the VP gain weight at a 
faster rate than the Control Group. This result is not readily explained by 
food or water consumption differences between the experimental groups 
and the control group. The observed differences in weight trajectories 
that emerged without changes in water or caloric intake has been pre-
viously reported when chronic deep brain stimulation was targeted to 
either the nucleus accumbens (Prinz et al., 2017) or the lateral hypo-
thalamus (Sani et al., 2007) in models of obesity. It was surprising that 
putatively inhibiting VP activity (with Gi DREADDs) did not cause reductions in food intake and/or weight because acute inhibition of the VP is effective at reducing rewarding 
food consumption, hedonic reactions to food, and motivation to procure 
food (Chang et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2009; Castro et al., 2015; Root 
et al., 2015). Unexpectedly, chronic Gq-mediated excitation did not 
increase food intake, even though acute VP excitation is closely linked to 
the nucleus accumbens (Prinz et al., 2017) or the lateral hypo-
thalamus (Sani et al., 2007) in models of obesity. The observed 
differences in weight trajectories that emerged without changes in water or caloric intake has been 
previously reported when chronic deep brain stimulation was targeted to 
either the nucleus accumbens (Prinz et al., 2017) or the lateral hypo-
thalamus (Sani et al., 2007) in models of obesity. It was surprising that 
putatively inhibiting VP activity (with Gi DREADDs) did not cause reductions in food intake and/or weight because acute inhibition of the VP is effective at reducing rewarding food consumption, hedonic reactions to food, and motivation to procure food (Chang et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2009; Castro et al., 2015; Root et al., 2015). Unexpectedly, chronic Gq-mediated excitation did not increase food intake, even though acute VP excitation is closely linked to such changes (Smith et al., 2009; Stratford et al., 1999). Thus there were 
differences between the control group without DREADDs receiving CNO 
and those with DREADDs receiving CNO, meaning that the DREADD 
expression plus CNO, and not CNO alone, was responsible for altering 
weight gain without affecting food intake. While the cited acute ma-
nipulations involved behaviors with food rewards, they did not look at 
ad libitum access or weight over time; therefore, direct comparisons of 
acute and chronic DREADD manipulation cannot be made. Similarly, 
owing to the use of male subjects in this study, future work is required to 
parse out the acute and chronic effects of VP manipulations on weight 
regulation in the female population. In general, while our data is not 
ideal for making claims about differences between acute and chronic 
DREADD manipulations it is surprising that food consumption was not 
altered in either direction given the prior acute studies. Overall, this 
highlights the need for careful pre-clinical exploration of chronic brain 
manipulation where the premise may be based on acute interventions.

Our attempted intervention using chronic manipulation of the VP 
used the same DREADD receptors and CNO ligand that were used in 
previous acute DREADD manipulations that altered VP activity, changed 
food seeking and eating behavior (Smith et al., 2016; Roth, 2016; Bur-
nett and Krashes, 2016). It is possible that chronic activation caused 
unexpected changes in DREADD receptor function, CNO efficacy at the 
DREADD receptors over long periods of administration, CNO meta-
bolism, and/or receptor/intracellular signaling adaptations to chronic 
agonist activity (Mahler and Aston-Jones, 2018). Of note, there is a 
potential for clozapine, CNO’s back-converted parent, to have contrib-
uted to results (Gomez et al., 2017). However, in rats of either sex, 
clozapine administration at relatively high doses in rats does not appear 
to affect weight gain, suggesting clozapine itself is unlikely to explain our 
effects (Choi et al., 2007). Still, we allow for the possibility of an 
interaction between clozapine presence and DREADD-related neuronal 
manipulations to play a role here. Regardless of how the activity of VP 
targeted neurons was altered, the net result was an increase in weight 
with no change in caloric intake.

This surprising outcome could be the result of known reciprocal 
connectivity between regions within the ventral striatum (VP and nu-
ucleus accumbens) and the hypothalamus. The VP has both direct 
connections with the lateral hypothalamus (Faget et al., 2018), and indirect 
connections by way of the nucleus accumbens shell (Zahm and Brog, 
1992; Zahm, 2000; Churchill and Kalivas, 1994) that also has connec-
tion with the lateral hypothalamus (Huang et al., 2003; Carus-Cadavieco 
et al., 2017). Given the strong connectivity between the ventral striatal 
structures and the hypothalamus it is not surprising that chronic 
manipulation (deep brain stimulation – DBS) of either regions within the 
ventral striatum or the hypothalamus can produce similar effects on 
metabolism or motivated behavior. DBS of the nucleus accumbens shell 
or the lateral hypothalamus have both produced weight changes in ro-
dent models of obesity without behavioral effects on food or water 
intake (Prinz et al., 2017; Sani et al., 2007). Moreover, Diepenbroek 
et al. showed that DBS of the nucleus accumbens shell could produce 
changes in peripheral glucose metabolism (Diepenbroek et al., 2013). 
Both human ventral striatal DBS in a patient with obsessive compulsive 
disorder and optogenetic activation of dopamine D1 receptor neurons in 
nucleus accumbens of mice have been shown to increase glucose toler-
ance and insulin sensitivity (ter Horst et al., 2018). Manipulation of 
intermediate hypothalamic neuronal populations are known to alter both basal 
metabolic rate and spontaneous physical activity (Zink et al., 2018) and 
could be possible mediators of our DREADD manipulation by altering 
energy homeostatic processes that produced the observed weight 
changes. It is possible that more localized, cell type specific, or con-
nectivity specific expression of DREADDS within the VP could produce 
translationally relevant changes in food consumption and weight 
(Churchill and Kalivas, 1994). Concerning neuronal type, the VP is a 
eterogenous structure, for example containing GABAergic projection 
and neurons and interneurons (some also co-producing enkephalin), 
corticopetal cholinergic neurons, and excitatory projection neurons that 
could all function differently for behavior (Smith et al., 2009; Root et al., 
2015). Cells of particular interest might be the glutamatergic and eke-
phalinergic VP populations (Farrell et al., 2021; Stephenson-Jones et al., 
2020; Faget et al., 2018; Heinsbroek et al., 2020). Clearly future work 
will need to parse out the contribution of different VP neurons. The 
promoter that we used was pan-neuronal, meaning that all neurons in 
the VP could have expressed the DREADD receptor, and the main pre-
cedent for this study is the above literature that similarly manipulates 
the VP, or records from VP neurons, in a similar non-neuronal-type-
specific manner. Thus we sought to first test the VP ‘as a whole’ 
before proceeding with neuron-specific or pathway-specific manipulations.

Several features of the study design limit the breadth of conclusions 
that can be drawn. There were no cohorts fed a “control” rat chow diet, 
and prior work has shown that rats with ad libitum access to standard 
chow also develop obesity (Laaksonen et al., 2013). Future evaluation of 
a lower caloric, less palatable food would provide insight into the in-
fluence of food salience on the effect of chronic VP manipulation using 
DREADDs. Future studies could also explore changes in neuronal firing, 
DREADD receptor function and distribution, CNO binding or meta-
bolism, intracellular signaling to establish the mechanisms underlying 
our surprising findings. While viral injections were targeted to the VP, 
and this was the structure with the highest density of expression, there 
was expression in surrounding brain regions that could also have 
contributed to the study outcome. It is important to note that the degree of 
off target expression within our Gi and Gq cohorts was similar to those 
observed in prior published studies from our group that have used acute 
DREADD manipulations (Chang et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2018). Infilt-
tration of the virus into areas like the septal area was very uncommon. 
Slight spread dorsal to the anterior commissure did occur in a few ani-
mals. However, given that the vast majority of viral expression both 
within and across animals was in the ventral pallidum, we are confident 
that the effects of the DREADD manipulations are related to the ventral 
pallidum. Finally, the exposure of rats to the stress of single housing 
could have contributed to the diet-induced weight gain that we report (i.
.e., isolation stress as a factor of weight gain in addition to the diet itself). 
This might mirror what is seen in clinical populations with the common 
comorbidity of stress and diet-related obesity (Adams et al., 2006;
Preguiça et al., 2020) and potentially strengthen the translational relevance of the data.

To conclude, our results show that attempts to chronically modulate VP activity in rats on an obesogenic diet do not alter food consumption reotaxic apparatus (Stoelting, Kiel, WI, USA). Surgery was conducted viral vector infusions under anesthesia with isoflurane gas using a ste

4.2. DREADD virus injection

Three groups of animals (N = 10 each; PND 70) received bilateral VP viral vector infusions under anesthesia with isoflurane gas using a stereotaxic apparatus (Stoelting, Kiel, WI, USA). Surgery was conducted under aseptic conditions. A 5 μl, 33-gauge beveled needle-tipped syringe (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA) was lowered to the bilateral target sites, in mm: VP = −0.12 AP, ± 2.4 ML, −8.2 DV (Paxinos and Watson, 2006) and allowed to rest for 3 min prior to infusion. Viral vectors were infused at a rate of 0.15 μl/min. Dispersion of virus was allowed for 5 min post-infusion. The following vectors were used, each given at a total volume of 0.8 μl per hemisphere: 1) inhibitory Gi DREADD (AAV5-hSyn-hM4Di-mCherry; UNC Vector Core; n = 5; AAV8-hSyn-hM4Di-mCherry; Addgene; n = 5); excitatory Gq DREADD (AAV8-hSyn-hM3Gq-mCherry; Addgene; n = 10); and control construct (AAVS-hSyn-EYFP; UNC Vector Core; n = 10). Multiple virus serotypes were required due to supplier limitations. Alzet osmotic pumps (model 2ML4; Durect Corp., Cupertino, CA), were implanted subcutaneously (peri-

4.3. Histology

After completion of the weight and intake measurement phase, rats were deeply anesthetized with 1–2 mL of Euthasol (phenobarbital) and perfused with 0.9% saline solution for approximately 6–8 min followed by 10% formalin in distilled H2O until fixation of head and neck tissue (approximately 3–4 min). Brain tissue was extracted, saturated with 20% sucrose (in distilled water) and frozen to −80 °C. Brains were then sliced to 60 μm thick sections with a microtome, mounted to slides, and cover-slipped with Vectashield mounting medium containing DAPI. Fluorescent expression was imaged using a microscope (Olympus U-HGLPFS). For each brain, the area of neuronal fluorophore expression was manually transcribed onto blank, printed atlas pages ( Paxinos and Watson, 2006) and then transcribed digitally via PowerPoint (Microsoft). Per-animal expression maps were then combined into group expression maps by digitally overlaying the expression areas at 34% transparency (Adobe Illustrator).

4.4. Weight and intake measurement and analyses

Recordings of animal weights began on PND 28 immediately after weaning and continued through to the end of the study. Viral vector infusions occurred on PND 70 and CNO-containing pump implants were placed on PND 90 and per-animal weights, water consumption, and food consumption were measured for the following 30 days until pump removal at PND 120. Measurements were not taken on occasion, but never exceeded two consecutive days (i.e. there are never instances of 3 days passing without data collection). Linear mixed models (below) are well suited for longitudinal studies with missing observations and uneven measurement intervals (Gibbons et al., 2010) and thus were chosen for analysis. Analyses include both fixed effects (independent variables; i.e. group, day, and other covariates) as well as random effects (individual rat growth rates and individual starting values; i.e. slopes and y-intercepts). All dependent measures are reported as percent change (%Δ) from a 3-day averaged baseline that was established before pump implantation and chronic CNO administration.

In order to statistically analyze weight, food consumption and water consumption, a linear mixed model was used. The Group variable had three levels (Control, Gi, and Gq), was dummy coded, and compared each experimental group to the mutual control, such that the Gi Group was compared to the Control Group and the Gq Group was compared to the Control Group. All linear mixed models were fit by maximum likelihood and t-tests used Satterthwaite approximations of degrees of freedom (R; “lmertest” (Kuznetsova et al., 2016). The reported statistics include parameter estimates (β values) in the units of the dependent variable, confidence intervals (CI; 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals around dependent variable), standard error of the parameter estimate (SE), and p-values. All statistical tests were carried out using R (R-Core-Team, 2016). Graphs were created with Matlab (R2017b).
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